
The Roman Cavalry

*The Roman
Cavalry*

Downloaded from
www2.lacavedespapilles.com
by Bond & Pitts

BEYOND THE LEGIONS: THE UNSUNG POWER OF THE ROMAN CAVALRY

When we picture the military might of ancient Rome, our minds often conjure images of disciplined legionaries in segmented armor, advancing in tight formation behind a wall of rectangular shields. This image, while iconic, tells only half the story. For centuries, the Roman army's success on three continents was not solely dependent on its heavy infantry. A crucial, yet often

overlooked, component was the **Roman cavalry**. Far from being a mere auxiliary force, the mounted arm evolved from a small, aristocratic contingent into a diverse, highly professional, and indispensable asset that shaped the course of countless battles and the very empire they served.

Understanding the journey of the Roman cavalry is essential to grasp the full scope of Roman military genius. It is a tale of adaptation, integration of foreign expertise, and tactical innovation that spanned over a thousand years. From

the early days of the Republic to the twilight of the Empire, these horsemen—both Roman citizens and allied warriors—provided the army with speed, striking power, and a crucial strategic dimension that the slow-moving legions could not offer on their own.

The Early Republic: A Gentleman's Arm

In Rome's early history, during the Regal period and the early Republic, the cavalry—known as the **equites**—was a force of the elite. The

name itself suggests a social class rather than just a military function; the *equites* were the wealthiest citizens, those who could afford to own and maintain a horse. This was a considerable expense, as warhorses required specialized breeding, feed, and equipment. In the early manipular legions, the cavalry was a small contingent, typically numbering only 300 men per consular army (roughly 10% of the infantry).

These early Roman horsemen were lightly armed by later standards, lacking stirrups (an invention not yet adopted in the West) and often fighting with lances and small round shields. Their role was primarily one of scouting, pursuing a broken enemy, and

screening the flanks of the legions from opposing cavalry. Their performance, however, was often lackluster. Roman culture was not traditionally equestrian, and they frequently found themselves outmatched by the more accomplished horsemen of their neighbors, particularly the Gauls and the Samnites. A series of humiliating defeats, most notably the devastating loss at the Battle of the Allia in 390 BC (where the Roman cavalry fled), revealed a critical weakness.

The Punic Wars and

the Turning Point

The crucible of the Punic Wars against Carthage in the 3rd and 2nd centuries BC forced a dramatic reassessment of the Roman cavalry. The Carthaginian general Hannibal Barca possessed a masterful cavalry arm, composed of heavy Numidian horsemen and agile, faster-moving Numidian skirmishers. At battles like **Lake Trasimene** and, most famously, **Cannae** (216 BC), Hannibal's cavalry decimated the Roman mounted forces, then used their superior mobility to encircle and annihilate the legions. Cannae

remains a classic study in the tactical use of cavalry to achieve a double envelopment.

The Romans, pragmatic as ever, learned from their defeats. They realized that their own citizen cavalry (the *equites Romani*) was not the answer. The solution was **social**

auxilia—recruiting specialized horsemen from allied states and mercenary groups who had the skills Rome lacked. They increasingly relied on the Numidians themselves, whose light, unarmored horsemen were superb for scouting, harassment, and pursuit. They also began to incorporate **Gallic and Spanish cavalry**, who provided a heavier, more shock-oriented element. This

policy of integrating foreign talent became a cornerstone of Roman military strategy. The army at Zama (202 BC), where Scipio Africanus finally defeated Hannibal, featured a powerful cavalry wing composed largely of Numidians and Italians, which proved decisive.

Profession nalization Under the Empire

The most significant transformation of the Roman cavalry occurred under the Imperial reforms of Augustus and his successors. The ad-hoc forces of the Republic were replaced by a

standing, professional army. The cavalry was formally organized into two main branches:

- **The Legionary Cavalry:** These were the small, 120-man mounted contingents attached directly to each legion. They were used for scouting, dispatch riding, and internal security. While professional, they were never the primary striking force.
- **The Auxiliary Cavalry:** This became the mainstay of Rome's mounted power. Drawn from the provinces—Gaul, Germany, Spain, Thrace, and later North Africa and

Syria—these were full-time soldiers who served for 25 years and received Roman citizenship upon honorable discharge. They were organized into *alae* (literally "wings"), which could be either *quingenariae* (480 men) or *milliariae* (720 men). An *ala* was further divided into *turmae* of 32 men each, commanded by a *decurion*.

The equipment of the Imperial cavalryman was far more sophisticated than his Republican forebear. He typically wore chainmail or scale armor, a metal helmet with cheek pieces for protection, and carried

a long, straight spatha sword, a lance (*contus*) for thrusting, and an oval or hexagonal shield. The horse itself was often protected with armor, especially in later centuries. The development of a deeper, more supportive saddle (the "four-horned" saddle) allowed the rider to brace himself for a powerful lance thrust without the need for stirrups.

Tactics and Roles on the Battlefield

The tactical role of the Roman cavalry was multifaceted. It was not

merely a blunt instrument of charge. Its functions can be broken down into several key areas:

1. **Scouting and Reconnaissance:**

This was arguably their most vital function.

Exploratores (scouts) were the eyes of the army, moving ahead of the main force to map terrain, locate water sources, and report enemy movements and positions. A general blind to his surroundings was a vulnerable general.

2. **Flank Protection:**

During battle, the cavalry was stationed on the wings of the

infantry line. Their primary job was to prevent the enemy's horsemen from turning the legion's vulnerable flanks. This was a defensive role that set the stage for the infantry clash.

3. **Shock Action and Pursuit:**

When an opportunity arose—an enemy infantry line wavering or a flank exposed—the cavalry could charge. The impact of a disciplined unit of armored horsemen, even without stirrups, was formidable. However, the Roman cavalry

rarely charged a steady, unbroken heavy infantry line head-on. Its more decisive role often came after the infantry battle was won, pursuing the fleeing enemy to prevent reformation and maximize casualties. This was a key difference from the medieval heavy cavalry's role as a shock breakthrough weapon.

4. **Countering Enemy Cavalry:**

The Roman cavalry was also responsible for engaging and neutralizing the enemy's mounted arm. This involved complex

maneuvers, feigned retreats, and direct confrontation. The successful integration of light horse archers (like those from Syria) and heavy lancers (like the *cataphracts* adopted from Parthia) allowed them to counter a wide variety of threats.

Adversaries and Evolution: From Parthia to

the Huns

The Roman cavalry had to constantly adapt to new and powerful mounted enemies. The **Parthian Empire** in the East presented a formidable challenge with its **cataphracts**—heavily armored lancers on armored horses—and its swift horse archers. The disastrous defeat at Carrhae in 53 BC taught the Romans that the legion alone was vulnerable to mobile, missile-armed cavalry. In response, Rome began to develop its own heavy cavalry, eventually fielding its own *cataphractarii* (heavily armored lancers) and *clibanarii* (even more heavily armored "mail-clad" riders).

Later, the **Huns** in the

4th and 5th centuries AD presented a different problem: extreme speed, composite bows fired from the saddle, and a strategy of constant harassment and feigned retreats. The late Roman army responded by increasing the proportion of cavalry in its armies, creating smaller, more mobile field armies, and relying more heavily on mounted archers and lancers. The army of the Late Empire was a much more cavalry-centric force than that of the early Principate, a shift that foreshadowed the mounted armies of the Middle Ages.

Life in the

Saddle: The Auxiliary Horseman

What was it like to serve in the **Roman cavalry**? For an auxiliary soldier, the life was arduous but offered a clear path to a better future. Recruits were often young men from tribal societies where horsemanship was already a valued skill. Service came with a regular salary, the prospect of a substantial bonus (*donativum*) upon discharge, and the ultimate prize: Roman citizenship for himself

and his children.

Discipline was strict. A trooper was responsible for the care of his own horse, armor, and weapons. Training was constant and focused on individual skills, such as mounting and dismounting quickly, throwing javelins from horseback, and using the sword and lance, as well as complex unit drills. The cavalry needed to be able to charge in formation, wheel, advance in line, and retire in good order. A trooper's lance was sharpened, his *spatha* was ready, and his horse was duty-bound. They lived in fortified camps, often away from the main legionary fortresses, forming their own communities. The standard of living was generally higher than

that of the infantry, reflecting the greater expense and skill required to maintain a horse.

The evidence for this daily life comes from a rich variety of sources. Tombstones of cavalrymen, such as the famous *Stele of Flavinus* from Hexham, show them in full battle kit, trampling a barbarian foe. Votive plaques and military diplomas (bronze tablets recording the grant of citizenship) provide details of ranks and units. Perhaps most evocative is the Dura-Europos shield, a painted shield cover from a Roman cavalryman in Syria that depicts a detailed battle scene between Romans and Parthians.

Decline and Legacy

As the Western Roman Empire weakened in the 5th century, the effectiveness of its cavalry declined with it. Economic troubles made it harder to equip and feed mounted troops. The supply of skilled horsemen from provinces like Gaul and Germany dried up as those lands were lost to barbarian control. The **Roman cavalry** of the West became increasingly reliant on *foederati*—allied barbarian tribes who fought under their own leaders, further diluting the professionalism of the Roman army. While the Eastern Roman

Empire (Byzantium) maintained a powerful and sophisticated cavalry tradition for another thousand years, the Western cavalry arm finally dissolved alongside the empire it had served.

Yet, the legacy of the Roman cavalry is profound. They were not the masters of the battlefield that the legions were, but they were the eyes, the wings, and the hammer of the Roman army. They were the explorers who mapped unknown lands, the flank guards who protected the infantry, and the pursuers who turned a tactical victory into a rout. Their integration of diverse cultures—Numidian, Gallic, German, Syrian—into a single, disciplined force was a

microcosm of the Roman Empire itself: practical, adaptive, and unstoppable in its prime. The story of Rome is not just the story of the legionary, but also the story of the trooper who rode beside him, watching over him, and driving his enemies before him.

Conclusion

n

In summary, the **Roman cavalry** was far more than a supporting act to the famous legions. It was a dynamic, evolving force that faced existential threats, learned from humiliating defeats,

and integrated the best military techniques from across the known world. From the patrician *equites* of the early Republic to the professional *alae* of the Empire and the armored *cataphractarii* of the later period, the mounted arm provided the Roman war machine with speed, reach, and tactical flexibility. Without these unsung horsemen, the Roman Empire would never have been built, defended, or sustained. They rode into history on the back of a Roman saddle, their hoofbeats an enduring echo of an empire that mastered the art of war on both foot and horseback.