

---

# Immanuel Kant The Critique Of Judgement

---

Immanuel Kant The Critique Of Judgement

Downloaded from [www2.lacavedespapilles.com](http://www2.lacavedespapilles.com) by Vang & Chapman

---

## BRIDGING THE DIVIDE: AN INTRODUCTION TO KANT'S THIRD CRITIQUE

---

When we think of the great edifices of Western philosophy, the work of Immanuel Kant stands as a monumental structure. Most students first encounter Kant through his **Critique of Pure Reason**, a text that fundamentally reshaped metaphysics and epistemology by proposing that our minds actively shape our experience of reality. They then move to his **Critique of Practical Reason**, which grounds morality not in divine command or social consequence, but in the universal law of reason itself, the categorical imperative. Yet between the world of scientific law (nature) and the world of moral freedom (ethics) lies a chasm. How do we move from knowing what is to determining what ought to be? How do we feel at home in a universe governed by mechanical laws?

It is here that **Immanuel Kant The Critique Of Judgement** (often referred to as the Third Critique) steps in as a masterwork of philosophical bridge-building. Published in 1790, this text is arguably Kant's most elegant and influential work. It is not merely a book about art; it is a profound investigation into how we experience purpose, beauty, and the sublime. It tackles the very structure of human feeling and reflection, arguing that our capacity for judgment is the crucial link between the realm of natural necessity and the realm of human freedom. This article will explore the core ideas of this dense yet rewarding work, unpacking its arguments on aesthetic judgment, teleology, and the deep sense of meaning they provide for human existence.

---

## THE ARCHITECTURE OF THE CRITIQUES: WHY A THIRD?

---

To truly appreciate **Immanuel Kant The Critique Of Judgement**, one must understand the problem it was written to solve. Kant's first two Critiques present a bifurcated world. The **Critique of Pure Reason** tells us that we can only have certain knowledge of the phenomenal world—the world as it appears to us, structured by space, time, and the categories of understanding (like causality). This is the domain of nature, governed by deterministic laws. The **Critique of Practical Reason**, however, introduces the noumenal realm—the world of things-in-themselves, where freedom, God, and immortality are possible postulates required for morality.

The problem is stark: these two domains appear incompatible. Nature operates by cause and effect; morality requires freedom from that very causality. How can a being subject to natural laws also be a free moral agent? Kant believed that the **Critique of Judgment** could bridge this "great gulf" by exploring a third fundamental mental faculty: the power of judgment (*Urteilkraft*). This faculty is concerned not with knowing or willing, but with **feeling**—specifically, our feelings of pleasure and displeasure as they relate to the world around us. By examining how we make reflective judgments

(judgments about purpose and beauty), Kant believed he could show that nature is not entirely alien to our moral aspirations.

---

## REFLECTIVE VS. DETERMINATE JUDGMENT

---

A central distinction in the text is between **determinate** and **reflective** judgment.

- **Determinate Judgment:** This is the judgment of science. It subsumes a particular under a universal law already given. For example, "This object is a tree" uses the universal concept of "tree" to classify the particular thing in front of me. The law is given by the understanding.
- **Reflective Judgment:** This is the judgment of aesthetics and teleology. Here, only the particular is given, and we must find a universal concept or principle for it. We are not subsuming under a known law, but reflecting on the object to see if it *\*seems\** to have a purpose or possesses a quality that stimulates a free play of our cognitive faculties. This type of judgment is not constitutive (it doesn't create an object) but **reflective** (it reflects on our own mental state).

The entire **Critique of Judgment** is a study of this reflective judgment. It has two main parts: the **Critique of Aesthetic Judgment** (dealing with beauty and the sublime) and the **Critique of Teleological Judgment** (dealing with purpose and design in nature).

---

## THE CRITIQUE OF AESTHETIC JUDGMENT: THE EXPERIENCE OF BEAUTY

---

This is the most widely read and discussed section of the book. For Kant, an aesthetic judgment—a judgment of taste—is not a simple statement of preference like "I like this." It makes a claim to universal validity. When someone says "This rose is beautiful," they are not merely saying "I like this rose." They are demanding that everyone else *\*ought\** to feel the same way. This universal claim, however, is not based on concepts (like "it is red") or moral utility. It is entirely subjective, based on the feeling of pleasure in the subject.

Kant famously outlines four "moments" of the judgment of taste in the analytic of the beautiful:

## 1. Quality: Disinterested Pleasure

A judgment of beauty is **disinterested**. This is a radical and crucial point. To judge something beautiful is not to desire it, possess it, or find it useful. It is a pure, free contemplation of the object. If you are hungry and judge a piece of fruit as "good" because it will satisfy your hunger, that is an interested judgment, not an aesthetic one. Beauty, for Kant, is independent of any practical interest

or conceptual end. This disinterestedness gives the judgment of taste its purity and its link to a higher, non-egotistical state of mind.

## 2. Quantity: Universality Without a Concept

Because the judgment of beauty is not based on a personal interest, it can claim to be **universally valid** for all judging subjects. Yet this universality is not logical or objective (like the statement "all bachelors are unmarried"). It is a subjective universality. When I judge something beautiful, I speak with a "universal voice," assuming that everyone else *should* agree, not because they have to (by logic), but because they *ought* to (by a shared sensibility). The ground of this agreement is the universal structure of the human mind, which all people share.

## 3. Relation: Purposiveness Without Purpose

This is perhaps Kant's most famous and subtle concept. When we find something beautiful, it seems as if it were **designed** or made for our cognitive faculties. It appears to have a purpose—specifically, to stimulate a harmonious free play between our imagination and understanding. However, this appearance of purpose is not a claim about a real, objective purpose in the object itself. The object is not "for" anything. It has **purposiveness without a purpose** (*Zweckmäßigkeit ohne Zweck*). Think of a beautiful flower: its shape and color seem perfectly arranged to delight us, yet it isn't actually designed by a creator to do so. This form of finality is purely formal, existing in the eye of the beholder.

## 4. Modality: Necessity

Finally, the judgment of taste is **necessary**. This is not a theoretical or practical necessity, but a "exemplary" necessity. It is a necessity of assent from everyone. We cannot command someone to find something beautiful, but we feel justified in demanding their agreement. This necessity is grounded on the idea of a **sensus communis** (a common sense), a shared baseline of aesthetic feeling that we assume in all rational beings.

---

### THE SUBLIME: THE FORBIDDEN PLEASURE

---

In contrast to the beautiful, which concerns the form and boundedness of an object, the **sublime** concerns the formless and the boundless. The sublime is an experience of overwhelming power or magnitude that, paradoxically, gives us a feeling of pleasure.

- **The Mathematical Sublime:** This is the sublime of vastness. Looking at the starry sky or a massive mountain range, our imagination is overwhelmed in its attempt to grasp the infinite. We fail to comprehend the whole. This failure initially causes pain. However, this failure points beyond our senses to our rational faculty, which has the idea of infinity. The pleasure comes from the realization that our mind (through reason) can conceive of an absolute totality that our senses cannot grasp. We feel small physically, but great spiritually.
- **The Dynamical Sublime:** This is the sublime of power. Think of a raging storm, a volcanic eruption, or a powerful waterfall. These phenomena are physically threatening, and we naturally experience fear. Because we are safe, we can feel this fear aesthetically. The feeling of being physically powerless is accompanied by a feeling of inward moral strength. We recognize that nature is stronger than us *physically*, but that we have a moral faculty within us that is superior to nature. The sublime awakens our sense of moral vocation.

The sublime is a more complex and morally significant experience than the beautiful. It reminds us of our rational destiny and our freedom from the mere forces of nature. It is a "negative pleasure," a pleasure born from a moment of painful frustration that is then overcome by a higher intellectual feeling.

---

### THE CRITIQUE OF TELEOLOGICAL JUDGMENT: PURPOSE IN NATURE

---

The second half of **Immanuel Kant The Critique Of Judgement** deals with **teleology**—the study of purpose or ends in nature. Kant asks: is it legitimate for us to judge natural organisms as if they had purposes?

Mechanistic science (Newtonian physics) treats everything as a chain of cause and effect. An acorn falls, it grows into an oak, it produces more acorns. This can be described mechanistically. But can it be *explained* fully? For Kant, a living organism—a tree, a cat, a human being—presents a special problem. In an organism, the parts exist for and by means of the whole. The root exists for the leaf, and the leaf exists for the root. The organism is both cause and effect of itself. It is a natural purpose, or a **natural end** (*Naturzweck*).

Kant argues that we cannot *know* that organisms are designed. That would be a determinant judgment beyond our cognitive limits. However, we are forced to *reflect* on them *as if* they were designed by an intelligent cause. This is a regulative principle, not a constitutive one. It is a guide for our investigation of nature. We must study organisms "as if" they had a purpose to understand their unique structure and function. This principle of teleology is indispensable for biology, but it does not give us metaphysical knowledge of a Creator.

---

### BRIDGING THE GULF: THE FINAL PURPOSE

---

The most powerful move in the entire **Critique of Judgment** comes in the final pages, where Kant suggests that the ultimate end of nature is nothing other than **human culture**—specifically, the cultivation of our capacity to set our own ends. Moral freedom is the final purpose of creation. The beautiful (which cultivates our sensibility and disinterested pleasure) and the sublime (which

awakens our moral feeling) prepare us to recognize and act on the moral law. Nature, through its beauty and sublimity, seems to be a fit stage for our moral development.

In this way, the **Critique of Judgment** successfully bridges the gulf. Nature is not merely a mechanical process; it can be experienced as a realm of beauty and purpose that harmonizes with our deepest moral aspirations. The "purposiveness without purpose" we find in beauty is a symbol of the moral good—a promise that nature and freedom are not ultimately in conflict.

---

### ENDURING LEGACY AND MODERN RELEVANCE

---

The influence of **Immanuel Kant The Critique Of Judgement** cannot be overstated.

- **In Aesthetics:** It revolutionized the philosophy of art, moving the focus away from the object's inherent properties and towards the subjective experience of the viewer. The concepts of disinterested pleasure and the sublime became central to Romanticism and modern art theory.
- **In Philosophy:** It laid the groundwork for German Idealism, influencing Fichte, Schelling, and Hegel. It also deeply impacted hermeneutics and phenomenology.
- **In Ecology and Biology:** Kant's notion of a "natural end" and his careful defense of teleological judgment as a necessary guide for understanding living systems remain highly relevant in